	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/680,131	BOEHMER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quoc A. Tran	2176
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).	(3)	•
(2) Minh N. Nguyen (Attorney).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2006	Time: <u>11AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	ant's representative)	·
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:   Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3145 & 47-55		
Prior art documents discussed: No		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
1/23/02	,	
(Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

ide i

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following amended of claims 31, 35-40, 43, 47, & 51-55. The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon . .